## 14-612. Child abuse not resulting in death or great bodily harm; essential elements.

	narged	in Count] <sup>1</sup> , the	(name of defendant state must prove to your satisfact	) guilty of child abuse, ction beyond a
reaso			owing elements of the crime:	
	1.	(/		
			(describe conduct or course of	of conduct alleged to
have	been c	child abuse). <sup>2</sup>		
	2.		conduct described in Paragraph	
		(name of de	fendant) [caused] [or] [permitted	] <sup>3</sup>
		(name of c	,	
	-	•	that endangered the life or heal	th of
(nam	e of ch	<i>ild</i> );] <sup>4</sup>		
[OR]				
	[to be	e exposed to inclement	nt weather;]	
[OR]				
			y confined] [or] [cruelly punished	
	3.		( <i>name of defendant</i> ) showed	a reckless disregard
			ty or health of	
			( <i>name of defendant</i> ) showed	
			(name of defendant)'s o	
			ther, you must find that	
			ed] <sup>3</sup> a substantial and unjustifiab	
to the safety or health of (name of child). A substantial and				
•		•	aw-abiding person would recogn	
			use any law-abiding person to b	
		•	defendant) out of concern for the	safety or health of
		(name of c		
	[4.		(name of defendant) was a p	parent, guardian or
custo	dian of	the child, or	(name of defend re;] <sup>7</sup>	lant) had accepted
respo				
( 4 = )	5.		( <i>name of child</i> ) was und	er the age of eighteen
(18);	6.	This happened in N	lew Mexico on or about the	day of
		, <del>-</del>		

## **USE NOTES**

- 1. Insert the count number if more than one count is charged.
- 2. As used in this instruction, "conduct" may describe an act or a failure to act that causes child abuse or that permits child abuse to occur.
- 3. In most cases, only one of the bracketed alternatives should be given in a single instruction. However, both alternatives may be given in the same instruction if the evidence supports a finding beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant either

"caused or permitted" child abuse. See State v. Leal, 1986-NMCA-075, ¶13, 104 N.M. 506, 723 P.2d 977 ("Since abuse will frequently occur in the privacy of the home, charging a defendant with 'causing or permitting' may enable the state to prosecute where it is not clear who actually inflicted the abuse, but the evidence shows beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant either caused the abuse or permitted it to occur.").

- 4. Use only applicable alternative or alternatives.
- 5. If "justification" is in issue, if requested, this bracketed alternative must be given.
- 6. This paragraph sets forth the minimum level of culpability required to sustain a conviction for child abuse. *Cf. State v. Consaul*, 2014-NMSC-030, ¶ 23, 332 P.3d 850 ("[T]he punishment for child abuse resulting in great bodily harm, whether done knowingly, intentionally, negligently, or recklessly, is the same." (emphasis omitted)). In most cases, evidence that a defendant acted knowingly or intentionally will satisfy the standard set forth in this paragraph, and thus separate instructions for knowing and intentional conduct are not provided. *See State v. Montoya*, 2015-NMSC-010, ¶ 33, 345 P.3d 1056 ("[I]n most cases when the abuse does not result in the death of a child under twelve, it is not necessary to specify the defendant's mental state or to provide separate jury instructions for reckless or intentional conduct; evidence that the defendant acted 'knowingly, intentionally or [recklessly]' will suffice to support a conviction."); accord Model Penal Code § 2.02(5) ("When the law provides that . . . recklessness suffices to establish an element [of an offense], such element also is established if a person acts purposely or knowingly.").
- 7. Use this element only when there is evidence that the defendant permitted child abuse.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 15-8300-001, effective for all cases filed or pending on or after April 3, 2015.]