14-5130. Duress; nonhomicide crimes.¹

An issue in this case is whether the defendant was forced to ______ [under threats] [or] [out of necessity]³. The burden is on the state to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant did not act under reasonable fear. A defendant acted under a reasonable fear when:

- 1. The defendant feared immediate great bodily harm to himself or another person if he did not commit the crime;
- [2. The defendant did not find himself in a position that compelled him to violate the law due to his own recklessness:
- 3. The defendant's illegal conduct was directly caused by the threat of harm]⁴; and
- 4. A reasonable person would have acted in the same way under the circumstances.

USE NOTES

- 1. For use when duress is a defense to any crime except homicide or a crime requiring an intent to kill. If this instruction is given, add to the essential elements instruction for the offense charged, "The defendant did not act under duress."
 - 2. Describe acts of defendant constituting the offense.
- 3. Choose applicable alternative or alternatives. See committee commentary.
- 4. Bracketed elements apply only when duress is raised as a defense to a strict liability crime.

[As amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-012, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2017.]