13-2304. Retaliatory discharge.

In this case you must [also] determ	nine whether	
(employee) was discharged because [he]	[she]	(insert
conduct court has determined is protected	d by public policy).	If
(employee	e) was discharged b	ecause [he] [she]
(insert cor	nduct court has dete	ermined is protected by
public policy) [and if	(employee's) conduct which	
triggered the discharge was taken in furth	erance primarily of	a public interest rather
than primarily a private interest], then the	discharge was reta	liatory and was wrongful.
In determining whether		(<i>employee</i>) was
discharged because [he] [she]		_ (insert conduct court has
determined is protected by public policy),	you must determine	e whether that conduct was
a motivating factor in the decision to disch	narge [him] [her]. A	motivating factor is a factor
that plays a role in the decision to dischar	rge. It need not be t	he only reason, nor the last
nor latest reason, for the discharge.		

USE NOTES

This instruction should be given in all wrongful discharge cases involving a claim of discharge in violation of public policy. If the case involves issues of employment at will, this instruction should immediately follow UJI 13-2301, UJI 13-2302 or UJI 13-2303, if given.

Before this instruction is given, the court must determine as a matter of law that a public policy exists that was violated if plaintiff was discharged for the reason alleged.

A statement of the public policy relied on by the plaintiff and a description of the act or refusal to act which was allegedly the reason for the discharge should be inserted in the instruction as indicated.

The bracketed clause in the second sentence, which raises the issue of public versus private interest, is to be given only in the limited class of "whistleblower" cases in which the plaintiff made a report of wrongdoing to a private party rather than to public authorities. See Committee Commentary.

In some cases, it may be appropriate to give further instruction to the jury on the causation requirement associated with this claim. In those cases, the trial court must fashion a supplemental instruction based on the court's determination of the governing law.

[Approved, effective January 1, 1999; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-012, effective June 13, 2008.]