14-2010B. Mullipi	e conspiracie	s, distillet agree	ments.
] [is] ² [are] charged in Counts
			spiracies. Each of these Counts
requires a separate			•
			of one or more conspiracies, as
			, the State must prove to your
			[a] Defendant entered into an
•	-		alleged in that specific count.
	•		on a particular count for you to find
	0 ,		acy count or entered into some other
•		•	pecific count of the indictment. Each
		•	Each verdict of guilty must be
supported by evide	nce—beyond a	a reasonable dou	ubt—of a separate and distinct
•			pecific count and not a continuation
	•		dant not guilty of that count,
regardless of your v			
-		-	spired and agreed to commit more
. ,	•	•	ether the defendant entered into two
` '	•		ninal objects, or whether [the] ² [a]
	,		reement to commit multiple crimes,
			nitted with regard to Count and
Defendant[s] [, and]³] and [·]	the totality of the circumstances.

USE NOTES

- 1. Use when the evidence indicates the defendant participated in more than one conspiracy agreement. If not supported, UJI 14-2810 NMRA should be given instead.
 - 2. Use applicable alternative.
- 3. Use when the Court has limited evidence regarding a particular count and/or defendant. See UJIs 14-5007, 14-5008 NMRA. [Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-012, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2018.]