BOWEN V. PYLE

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

DOUGLAS G. BOWEN and MARY I. BOWEN,
Plaintiffs-Appellees,
v.
R.R. PYLE and MARGARET PYLE,
Defendants-Appellants,
and
CARLA CASTILLO, PAULA WILLIAMS, and LYNN SANCHEZ,
Defendants.

No. 31,613

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

January 5, 2012

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TAOS COUNTY, Sarah C. Backus, District Judge

COUNSEL

Campbell & Wells, PA, John S. Campbell, Albuquerque, NM, for Appellees

R.R. Pyle, Margaret Pyle, Albuquerque, NM, Pro Se Appellants

JUDGES

MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge. WE CONCUR: CELIA FOY CASTILLO, Chief Judge, J. MILES HANISEE, Judge

AUTHOR: MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE

MEMORANDUM OPINION

BUSTAMANTE, Judge.

Defendants R.R. Pyle and Margaret Pyle ("Appellants") appeal pro se from an order granting summary judgment to Plaintiffs on Plaintiffs' claim to quiet title and for slander of title. [RP 182] We proposed to dismiss for lack of a sufficiently final order in a notice of proposed summary disposition. Appellants have filed a memorandum in opposition, and Plaintiffs have filed a memorandum in support of our proposed summary disposition.

After reviewing Appellants' memorandum, which fails to address our concerns as to finality, we are unconvinced that our proposed disposition is in error. Therefore, for the reasons set forth in our notice of proposed summary dismissal, we dismiss Appellants' appeal for lack of a sufficiently final order. *See* NMSA 1978, § 39-3-2 (1966); *Kelly Inn No. 102, Inc. v. Kapnison*, 113 N.M. 231, 234-40, 824 P.2d 1033, 1036-42 (1992).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge

WE CONCUR:

CELIA FOY CASTILLO, Chief Judge

J. MILES HANISEE, Judge