

CEDRINS V. SHRESTHA

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

INARA CEDRINS,
Plaintiff-Appellant,
v.
RAMESH KUMAR SHRESTHA,
Defendant-Appellee.

NO. 30,955

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

April 11, 2011

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BERNALILLO COUNTY, Carl J. Butkus,
District Judge

COUNSEL

Inara Cedrins, Chicago, IL, Pro Se Appellant

Ramesh Kumar Shrestha, Albuquerque, NM, Pro Se Appellee

JUDGES

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge. WE CONCUR: MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge, LINDA M. VANZI, Judge

AUTHOR: MICHAEL E. VIGIL

MEMORANDUM OPINION

VIGIL, Judge.

Summary reversal was proposed for the reasons stated in the notice of proposed summary disposition. No memorandum opposing summary reversal has been filed and the time for doing so has expired.

REVERSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge

WE CONCUR:

MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge

LINDA M. VANZI, Judge