

CEDRINS V. PREWITT

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

INARA CEDRINS,
Plaintiff-Appellant,
v.
JAMES AND SHARON PREWITT,
RAMESH KUMAR SHRESTHA,
Defendants-Appellees.

NO. 30,817

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

March 1, 2011

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF SANTA FE COUNTY, Raymond Z. Ortiz,
District Judge

COUNSEL

Inara Cedrins, Chicago, IL, Pro Se Appellant

James Prewitt, Sharon Prewitt, Ramesh Kumar Shrestha, Albuquerque, NM, Pro Se Appellees

JUDGES

RODERICK T. KENNEDY, Judge. WE CONCUR: CELIA FOY CASTILLO, Chief Judge,
JAMES J. WECHSLER, Judge

AUTHOR: RODERICK T. KENNEDY

MEMORANDUM OPINION

KENNEDY, Judge.

Plaintiff appeals from the district court's dismissal of her complaint for conversion and intentional infliction of emotional distress on grounds of failure to state a claim and res

judicata. We issued a calendar notice proposing to dismiss the appeal for lack of a final order. Plaintiff filed a memorandum in opposition in which she reiterates the arguments made below and in her docketing statement, but she does not address the issue of finality.

We correct our calendar notice to the extent we inadvertently stated Plaintiff's complaint was for civil conspiracy rather than conversion. We otherwise remain unpersuaded as to the proposed outcome. We therefore dismiss the appeal for the reasons stated in the calendar notice. Once a final order has been entered, Petitioner may appeal in accordance with our rules of appellate procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

RODERICK T. KENNEDY, Judge

WE CONCUR:

CELIA FOY CASTILLO, Chief Judge

JAMES J. WECHSLER, Judge