

MONTOYA V. WHITCHURCH

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

MARK E. MONTOYA,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
v.
REISHA WHITCHURCH, and
JOSEPH A. NARVAIZ,
Defendants-Appellants.

No. 33,512

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

July 15, 2014

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF SANTA FE COUNTY, Sarah M. Singleton,
District Judge

COUNSEL

Mark E. Montoya, Rio Rancho, NM, Pro Se Appellee

Reisha M. Whitchurch, Santa Fe, NM, Joseph A. Narvaiz, Santa Fe, NM, Pro Se
Appellants

JUDGES

CYNTHIA A. FRY, Judge. WE CONCUR: MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge,
MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge

AUTHOR: CYNTHIA A. FRY

MEMORANDUM OPINION

FRY, Judge.

{1} Summary affirmance was proposed for the reasons stated in the calendar notice. No memorandum opposing summary affirmance has been filed, and the time for doing so has expired. **AFFIRMED.**

{2} **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

CYNTHIA A. FRY, Judge

WE CONCUR:

MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge