

STATE V. ROBINSON

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
v.
MITCHELL ROBINSON,
Defendant-Appellant.

NO. 30,911

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

June 16, 2011

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF SAN JUAN COUNTY, Grant Foutz, District
Judge

COUNSEL

Gary K. King, Attorney General, Santa Fe, NM, for Appellee

Ray Twohig, P.C., Ray Twohig, Albuquerque, NM, for Appellant

JUDGES

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge. WE CONCUR: MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge, LINDA M. VANZI, Judge.

AUTHOR: MICHAEL E. VIGIL

MEMORANDUM OPINION

VIGIL, Judge.

Summary affirmance was proposed for the reasons stated in the notice of proposed summary disposition. No memorandum opposing summary affirmance has been filed and the time for doing so has expired.

AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge

WE CONCUR:

MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge

LINDA M. VANZI, Judge