## OTTINO V. OTTINO, 131 N.M. 363, 36 P.3d 953 (S. Ct. 2001)

JAMES W. OTTINO, Petitioner-Petitioner,

DANA D. OTTINO, Respondent-Respondent, and AMANDA J. OTTINO and DANA D. WINTERS (formerly Ottino),
Petitioners-Respondents, vs. JAMES W. OTTINO,
Respondent-Petitioner.

NO. 26,827

SUPREME COURT OF NEW MEXICO

36 P.3d 953

October 30, 2001, Decided

Ottino v. Ottino, 2001-NMCA-012, 21 P.3d 37 (Ct. App. 2000)

## **OPINION**

## ORDER

WHEREAS, this matter came on for consideration upon petition for writ of certiorari filed pursuant to Rule 12-502 NMRA, and the Court having considered said petition and being sufficiently advised, issued its writ of certiorari on March 23, 2001, and, after further consideration of the petition, response, and briefs of the parties, the judgment of the Court is that the writ shall be quashed, Chief Justice Patricio M. Serna, Justice Joseph F. Baca. Justice Gene E. Franchini, Justice Pamela B. Minzner, and Justice Petra Jimenez Maes concurring;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the writ of certiorari issued on March 23, 2001, hereby is QUASHED; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the record proper, tapes, and exhibits shall be returned to the New Mexico Court of Appeals.

IT IS SO ORDERED.