

**STATE V. AFSME DOA**

This decision was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished decisions. Please also note that this electronic decision may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Supreme Court and does not include the filing date.

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO,**  
Plaintiff-Petitioner,

v.

**AMERICAN FEDERATION OF  
STATE, COUNTY, AND MUNICIPAL  
EMPLOYEES COUNCIL 18, AFL-CIO,  
CLC, and COMMUNICATION WORKERS  
OF AMERICA, AFL-CIO, CLC,**  
Defendants-Respondents.

NO. 33,792

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

May 30, 2013, Filed

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING ON CERTIORARI, Alan M. Malott, District Judge

**COUNSEL**

Rodey, Dickason, Sloan, Akin & Robb, P.A., Thomas L. Stahl, Edward R. Ricco,  
Albuquerque, NM, for Petitioner

Youtz & Valdez, P.C., Shane Youtz, Stephen Curtice, Albuquerque, NM, for  
Respondent

**JUDGES**

PETRA JIMENEZ MAES, Chief Justice, RICHARD C. BOSSON, Justice, EDWARD L.  
CHÁVEZ, Justice, CHARLES W. DANIELS, Justice, BARBARA J. VIGIL, Justice

**AUTHOR:** PETRA JIMENEZ MAES

**DISPOSITIONAL ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE**

{1} This certiorari petition having come before the full Court, and each member of the Court having studied the briefs, engaged in oral argument, and being otherwise fully informed on the issues and applicable law; and

{2} The Court of Appeals having issued a formal Opinion in this matter, *State v. American Federation of State, County, and Mun. Employees Council 18*, 2012-NMCA-114, \_P.3d\_, with which we agree and which sufficiently analyzes and resolves the principal issues in this case; and

{3} The members of the Court having concurred that it would better serve the public interest to file this Order of Affirmance now, rather than subject the parties and the public to the inevitable delay that accompanies a formal Opinion; and

{4} The members of the Court having concluded that there is no reasonable likelihood that a Decision or Opinion from this Court would materially advance the law of this State; and

{5} The members of the Court having agreed to invoke this Court's discretion under Rule 12-405(B)(3) NMRA to dispose of a case by order, decision or memorandum opinion rather than formal opinion;

**IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED THAT:**

{6} Having enacted the Public Employee Bargaining Act (the Act), NMSA 1978, Section 10-7E-1 to -26 (2003, as amended 2005), the New Mexico Legislature authorized the State, acting by and through representatives of its Executive branch, to negotiate and enter into binding contracts with representatives of organized labor, acting on behalf of those state employees choosing to become union members, and thereby to obligate the State, subject to legislative appropriation, to pay wages at the negotiated level to those state employees covered by contract.

{7} As set forth more fully in the Court of Appeals' majority opinion, in 2005 the State entered into contracts with organized labor pursuant to the Act and thereby committed to future wages at specified levels for those state employees covered by those contracts. Those contracts create binding obligations on the State and enforceable rights in those state employees covered by contract, conditioned on legislative appropriation.

{8} In 2008 the New Mexico Legislature appropriated sufficient funds to honor those contracts for Fiscal Year 2009, covering the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009. Following the 2008 legislative session and notwithstanding that appropriation, the State Personnel Board took actions to allocate a portion of those appropriated funds to purposes other than fulfillment of the State's contractual obligations. The effect of this action was to deprive those state employees covered by contract of sufficient funds to honor those contracts. Instead, the State chose to provide increased wages to those

employees *not* covered by contract who had no contractual rights at the expense of those state employees who had enforceable contractual rights.

{9} In doing so, the 2008 State Personnel Board, acting on behalf of the Executive branch, breached the State's contractual obligations, and acted contrary to legislative appropriation and to the Act. The rulings of both the district court and the Court of Appeals correctly enforce the rights of those state employees covered by contract. Accordingly those rulings are hereby **AFFIRMED** and these cases are remanded for further action consistent with this Order.

{10} **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**PETRA JIMENEZ MAES, Chief Justice**

**RICHARD C. BOSSON, Justice**

**EDWARD L. CHÁVEZ, Justice**

**CHARLES W. DANIELS, Justice**

**BARBARA J. VIGIL, Justice**